



MEMBERSHIP, GROWTH & DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION

E-Voting and Digital AGMs: The Webcast Trap Most Boards Miss

A livestreamed AGM isn't automatically a compliant virtual meeting. The law is specific about the difference

Livestreaming your AGM is not the same thing as holding a compliant virtual meeting, and this specific confusion is common enough that regulators have addressed it directly. Beyond the general virtual and hybrid meeting discipline this series covered earlier, the technology used for e-voting specifically carries its own precise legal requirements worth understanding before the next AGM, not during it.

Which rules apply to your association?

The law depends on how your association is set up. A **company limited by guarantee** follows the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) and its constitution. An **incorporated association** follows its state or territory associations Act and its rules. A **registered charity** also follows the ACNC Act and the ACNC Governance Standards, and some Corporations Act rules are replaced for charities that are companies. Check your own governing documents, and get advice before decisions with legal consequences.

In this resource

1. [A Webcast Is Not Virtual Meeting Technology, Legally Speaking](#)
2. [The Asymmetric Constitutional Requirement Worth Knowing Precisely](#)
3. [The Specific Discipline Around Member Questions](#)

A Webcast Is Not Virtual Meeting Technology, Legally Speaking

This is a specific, important distinction. A webcast that only allows members to view an AGM does not qualify as virtual meeting technology under the Corporations Act, and for any association it falls short of giving members a real opportunity to participate, because it does not provide members the opportunity to exercise their actual rights to ask questions and make comments during the meeting. An organisation that livestreams its AGM believing this satisfies its virtual participation obligations has not, on its own, met the legal requirement, regardless of how many members were able to watch. Virtual meeting technology must allow members, as a whole, a reasonable opportunity to actually participate, not merely observe.

The Asymmetric Constitutional Requirement Worth Knowing Precisely

For companies limited by guarantee that are not registered charities, the Corporations Act permits hybrid meetings, combining a physical venue with online participation, without a constitutional amendment. Virtual-only meetings, conducted entirely online with no physical venue at all, are permitted only where the constitution expressly allows them. Charity companies and incorporated associations work under a different framework: most Corporations Act member meeting rules do not apply to companies registered with the ACNC, and incorporated associations follow their state or territory Act. Check your constitution or rules, your governing Act and your regulator's guidance, and make sure the notice of meeting tells members how to join, vote and ask questions. An association planning to move entirely to virtual AGMs, rather than simply adding an online option alongside a physical meeting, needs to confirm its constitution actually contains this specific permission first, connecting directly to the constitutional amendment discipline this series has covered throughout. Many electronic voting problems come from planning rather than the technology itself: organisations that configured the software correctly but never worked out how members would actually be prepared to use it well before the meeting itself began.

The Specific Discipline Around Member Questions

Where e-voting or virtual meeting technology allows an organisation to review and select member questions submitted in advance, ASIC's guidance for companies expects this selection process to be fair and transparent, not curated to avoid difficult topics, and the same standard is good practice for any association. Organisations should be transparent about how questions were grouped or selected and keep records of questions, comments and responses. This connects directly to the minutes and meeting record discipline discussed earlier in this series: a digital meeting record is still a governance record, and the same rigour applies to it.

- Confirm whether your current AGM technology meets the requirements of your governing law and constitution or rules, distinguishing clearly between a view-only webcast and a platform that allows members to actually ask questions and vote.
- Check your constitution or rules and your governing Act before planning a virtual-only AGM. For a company limited by guarantee that is not a registered charity, this requires express constitutional permission in a way hybrid meetings do not.
- Apply a balanced, transparent process to any advance question submission and selection feature, keeping proper records of what was asked and answered.
- Configure voting weight settings to match your actual membership category structure precisely, connecting directly to the membership category discipline discussed earlier in this quarter.
- Invest deliberate time preparing members to use the technology before the meeting itself, since many electronic voting problems trace back to inadequate preparation rather than the software failing.

Electronic voting and virtual meeting technology have moved from emergency measure to normal governance practice, and the legal framework has matured alongside that shift. The organisations getting value from it are not simply the ones with the most sophisticated software. They are the ones who understood the specific legal distinctions this article has covered before relying on the technology to run a meeting that counts. This is one of the practical governance topics built into our Association CEO course, alongside the papers, tools and frameworks that turn the principle into your board's actual practice. [Explore the course](#)

Annie Gibbins

Founder and CEO, Nexus Leadership

BOARDROOM ACTION WORKSHEET

E-Voting and Digital AGMs: The Webcast Trap Most Boards Miss

Turn the resource into evidence, a decision and an accountable next step. Keep the completed record in your association's approved board records system.

1 What is the issue or decision?

State the governance question in one clear sentence.

2 What evidence do we already have?

Record the facts, source documents and stakeholder evidence available now.

3 What evidence is still needed?

Identify the legal, regulatory, financial, member or operational information still required.

4 What is the agreed next action?

Capture the owner, timeframe and how the matter will return to the board.

Action owner	Review date	Board decision or resolution

General education, not legal, financial, tax, clinical or governance advice. Confirm specifics at the relevant primary source or with your own qualified adviser. Nexus Leadership is operated by Lipstick Consulting Pty Ltd · ABN 15 619 120 482.

CONTINUE YOUR LEARNING

Turn governance principles into practical board action.

Self-paced courses, editable resources and practical support for association boards and CEOs at nexusleadership.com.au.